

They are looking at water service and have received utility plans from the city's civil engineers for water and sanitation

J. Wolinski suggested they look at the windows of the 515 Main Street building on the northeast corner for, what not to do with window treatments.

Staff suggested they talk to Nicor, ComEd and AT&T about boxes on the property.

They were reminded about the compliance with the State Energy Code.

A market analysis is being conducted for price points and staff stated. They were reminded of a location of the fire department connection.

Staff stated that a model of the planned development would be useful.

No vote taken—Concept review does not require a vote.

SPAARC 501 Davis Street **Preliminary and Final**
Store front replacement for "Davis Street Fishmarket".

PROJECT REPRESENTED BY Nicolas Leyva

GENERAL PROJECT PRESENTATION /DISCUSSION:

This project is to make a slight change to replacement windows. They did not bring pictures of existing property. Mr. Leyva did have a digital camera with pictures that was passed around to commission members.

They have single hung windows with an insect screen. One change on the design is the removal of the door and placing it in another location. The clear windows will remain the same. The base of the building is limestone, and they want a smaller window area. The windows start at the top of the limestone. The door swinging over the public way is illegal. Committee needed more information, pictures, model, etc.

Motion to table, 2nd, approved unanimously

SPAARC 2650 Ridge Avenue **Preliminary and Final**
Six cell cooling tower replacement at Evanston Hospital

PROJECT REPRESENTED BY Tim Vander Molen, Intergrated Facilities
Devin N. Kincaid, Senior Project Manager
Jim Schultz, Mechanical Engineer

GENERAL PROJECT PRESENTATION / DISCUSSION:

The project is to replace cooling towers on the hospital building. Issues arose with some sound issues with the neighbors. After investigation, they found the sound was higher than it should be. They decided that the best long range plan was to replace the towers. There towers were not new and because of other changes in the hospital, they did not have the redundancy they would like to have. It made since instead of

trying to retrofit to replace them. They brought in an elevation, a plan and pictures of the towers. The towers will go into the same spot that exist.

There is a total of eight (8) cells on the roof now. The noise issue came up about a year and a half to two years ago. The first two cells were replaced already. This is the second step to replace the other six cells with something identical to the ones done two years ago. The height increase is for the fan to slow down the air. In order to slow the velocity down they need to make the towers taller.

Nick Balandic (*resident comment*) with the Evanston Hospital Neighbor Liaison Committee. Spoke about the local noise criteria, and requested it be included as a rider on the permit application to meet local standards.

Staff stated that the noise control system to meet state and local noise criteria. It would be 55 decibels at the property line. This design or continuation of should comply with the city's criteria.

What the city would require to issue a permit would be that the permit drawings state that it would comply with the city's requirements of 55 decibels. It has to meet the minimum city's requirements for the city to issue the permit. 55 decibels at the property line should be stated on the permit drawings somewhere.

The applicant stated that the issue involved is not just 55 decibels at the lot line. Depends where you are on the line. The sound is extending out beyond it.

Staff stated they could include in the motion the intent of the meeting notes to be complied with.

The applicant stated they what they are asking for is not as much as a committee such as yours approve this. He's on the committee of standards and tests. The committee of standards and tests is in Chicago. In Chicago if you want to substitute any kind of material or any kind of system that's not in compliance with the municipal code you're entitled to appeal to the committee on standards and test. They are authorized by an ordinance to say yeah or nay and we can overrule the commissioner. What that mean if there is a ten (10) member committee with two mechanical, electrical, structure engineers, 2 architects and 2 fire prevention staff. We put riders like this into the retainer. You're not doing anything others haven't done. You probably have done this before too.

Staff stated they have certainly added conditions on their approval process, and the paragraph that Mr. Balandic is specifically referring to states: "ENH stated that the new system that included current technology will comply with the EPA strictest standard. To the neighbors this means that at least a 50% of reduction of noise at the worst conditions. Mr. Balandic observed the EPA criteria differences of Evanston's requirements, and there is some discussion about the screen options."

The applicant said they looked at a screen, although a screen was not included in the drawings or photographs, he stated that the continuation was on the tower. They would address concerns after the towers are in place and do a sound test.

