

Cultural Fund Grant: Grants to Organizations

Judge ID:	Category: GTO		Grant Number:	
Criteria	Fair	Good	Excellent	Points/Comments
Quality of Artistic Goals/Impact: 25	14-17 points <input type="checkbox"/>	18-21 points <input type="checkbox"/>	22-25 points <input type="checkbox"/>	Points Awarded:
Artistic quality of the work, program or services. Artistic or cultural impact on its constituents. Artistic mission / vision clearly stated. Goals demonstrate movement toward artistic challenges.	Artistic quality not demonstrated. Mission, and/or vision are vague or not stated. Goals not stated. Quality minimal.	Quality of work and programming demonstrated. Mission and vision stated. Goals outlined.	Excellent quality of work demonstrated. Mission and vision clearly stated. Project relevant to mission. Goals clearly stated and measurable.	
Organizational Capacity: 15	7-9 points <input type="checkbox"/>	10-12 points <input type="checkbox"/>	13-15 points <input type="checkbox"/>	Points Awarded:
Sound management. Qualifications of Board and Staff. Ability of organization to formulate and implement plans. Adequacy of facilities and equipment. Evidence of volunteer support, including the Board.	Board/staff lacks experience or diversity. Volunteer involvement not evident. Organization is new or lacks experience.	Board/staff is adequate. Good bank of volunteers. Organization appears able to complete stated goals and projects.	Diverse and qualified board and staff. Significant volunteer participation. History of successful projects.	
Community Engagement: 20	12-14 points <input type="checkbox"/>	15-17 points <input type="checkbox"/>	18-20 points <input type="checkbox"/>	Points Awarded:
Arts programming or projects are accessible to all. Programming and services enhance the social health of the community. Evidence of collaboration and/or community support.	Issues with accessibility. Benefit to community not stated or inconsistent. Lack of meaningful partners or support.	Project accessible. Plan shows benefit to the community. Partnerships and community involvement evident.	Full accessibility. Significant benefit to the community. Strong partners; support clearly demonstrated.	
Finances: 15	7-9 points <input type="checkbox"/>	10-12 points <input type="checkbox"/>	13-15 points <input type="checkbox"/>	Points Awarded:
Efforts to obtain mix of earned and contributed incomes. Ability to control expenses and stay within budget. Financials provide useful information about organization.	Lack of diversity in revenues. Financial reports missing or irrelevant. History of overspending or annual loss.	Budget is sound. Expenses are realistic. Financials are accurate and demonstrate the health of the organization.	Detailed budget provided. Strategy is clear for expenses and revenues. Financials demonstrate stability.	
Strength of Programming: 25	14-17 points <input type="checkbox"/>	18-21 points <input type="checkbox"/>	22-25 points <input type="checkbox"/>	Points Awarded:
Project and services consistent with mission. Quality of implementation plan. Attractiveness of programs to diverse audiences. Evidence of measurable outcomes and outputs and method for programming or services.	Programs do not align with mission. Unclear plan for implementation. Questionable appeal. Vague outcomes or lack of ability to measure outcomes.	Programs fall within stated mission. Outline for implementation stated. Appeal of programming evident. Outcomes are clearly stated and measurable.	Project fits mission well. Detailed implementation plan. Broad appeal. Outcomes clearly articulated and measurable.	

Note to judges: This form is intended as a guide only. Note that the categories start at “Fair”. If an applicant response in any of the five narratives is missing or “Poor”, judges have the discretion to assign a lower score than the minimal listed here (starting at 0/no points.) Please be aware that past applicants have found your comments invaluable as they work to strengthen their grant-writing and understand their score.

