MEETING NOTES
COMP PLAN SUBCOMMITTEE
Wednesday, May 22, 2012
7:30 A.M.
Evanston Civic Center, 2100 Ridge Avenue, Room 2403

Members Present: Scott Peters, Richard Shure, Barbara Putta
Members Excused: Lenny Asaro, David Galloway

Staff Present: Susan Guderley

1. CALL TO ORDER
Chair Peters called the meeting to order at 7:45 A.M. Additions to the meeting notes of April 24, 2013 were discussed, including:

- Member Shure noted the inclusion of success measures established in the Marin County, CA plan. Observing that this establishes a means and expectation to measure progress towards plan goals he recommended something similar be considered for Evanston’s plan update.
- Member Shure expressed an interest in studying the potential and feasibility of establishing a circulator serving those areas in Evanston currently underserved by public transportation options. Parameters such as hours of service, employers and other ridership generators, and operational costs were discussed. J. Feldman noted that the current CTA Bus Route 206 is called the circulator.
- Chair Peters stated that he wanted to add the issue of Complete Streets for consideration by the planning process. This is a term used to describe street cross-section and intersection design which accommodates multi-modal users, is pedestrian friendly, and designed to minimize accidents. Walkability was also mentioned as another potential issue.
- Chair Peters discussed his plans to meet with the City Manager with Dennis Marino to review the committee’s approach, proposed time schedule and public participation process. When he’d last met with the Manager, he’d been asked if the process could be finished by Spring 2014.
- Value was seen in making concrete proposals regarding project scope and timing to get the planning process started.
- Plans for getting stakeholder input are important to allow for early input, building credibility in the process and minimize complaints about opportunities, and show that the process.

2. NEXT STEPS
   NEXT MEETING – Members were to be polled to see whether Wednesday, June 19 or Wednesday, June 26th was preferred for the next meeting.

3. ADJOURNMENT
   The meeting adjourned at 9:00 A.M.