MEETING MINUTES
BOARD OF ETHICS
Tuesday, August 20, 2019
7:00 p.m.
Lorraine H. Morton Civic Center
2100 Ridge Ave, Room 2404

Members Present: Jennifer Billingsley, Elizabeth Gustafson, Karena Bierman and LJ Ellul

Members Absent: Vincent Thomas

Staff Present: Hugh DuBose, Assistant City Attorney

Presiding Member: Jennifer Billingsley, Board Chair

1. **Quorum**: Chair Billingsley declared that the Board had a quorum, with 4 of 5 members present and called the meeting to order.

2. **Public Comment**: The Board opened up the meeting for public comment. Mike Vasilco, Trisha Connolly, Darlene Cannon, Albert Gibbs, Clare Kelly, and Allie Brennen made public comments supporting Albert Gibbs and Trisha Connolly’s complaint.

   Mike Vasilco also asked the Board for the date of the next Ethics Subcommittee to the Rules Committee’s meeting.

3. **Approval of April 16, 2019, Meeting Minutes**:

   Chair Billingsley requested that the word “formatting” be removed and Member Ellul provided suggestions correcting scrivener errors in the minutes.

   Member Bierman moved for the Board to adopt the amended minutes of April16, 2019, with revisions incorporated. Member Gustafson seconded. The motion passes unanimously.

4. **New Business**

   Complaint 19-BOE-004

   Chair Billingsley indicated that the Board does not have to go into executive session and asked for discussion amongst the Board members.
Member Bierman stated the reason the Board goes into executive session to protect the Respondent from unfounded complaints if the Board determines it does not have jurisdiction to hear the complaint. The facts may be out in this case, but the Respondent still has a reasonable expectation that the complaint is confidential.

Staff Member DuBose advised that going into executive session is required under Evanston City Code Section 1-10-8(E).

Chair Billingsley asked the members to consider whether the Board can disregard Evanston City Code Section 1-10-8(E) and believes it has been disregarded before. Further, the Complaint has been disclosed and the alleged behavior took place in the public. She is inclined to proceed to hear the jurisdictional complain in open sessions. All of the facts are out there, so the Board can move forward.

Chair Billingsley stated the purpose of the jurisdictional hearing is to determine: (1) Is or was the respondent a person subject to the Code of Ethics as defined herein at the time of the alleged ethical misconduct; and (2) is the ethical misconduct complained of covered by this Chapter.

Chair Billingsley noted Staff distributed the Confidential Report pursuant to the requirements of the City Code.

Chair Billingsley noted that Mr. Gibbs and Ms. Connolly requested that their complaints be treated as one complaint.

Chair Billingsley stated that Alderman Braithwaite is an Alderman and is covered by the code.

Member Gustafson says she watched the meeting and rewatched the Ald. Braithwaite’s comments and found the situation to be confounding. She did not see a linear path from public comments to his response. She states she is reluctant to say what she is thinking. While she understands his comment, she does not see how it is a comment related to public comment.

Member Ellul states she watched the public comment and Ald. Braithwaite’s comments. She feels Ald. Braithwaite’s comments where not directed at a specific person who made a public comment. He was uttering his opinion to everyone. When a public official makes comments from the dais, they run the risk of offending someone. Member Ellul thought Ald. Braithwaite’s comments were inappropriate.

Chair Billingsley asks even if the comments were inappropriate, offensive, and uncivil, is that enough to trigger a code of ethics violation? She does not see without a hearing how she can make a finding. How the comments were received goes to whether there was intimidation, an abuse of authority, or impartiality.
Member Bierman says did it would be better to have discussion at hearing than to find no jurisdiction.

Member Ellul moved that the Board find it has jurisdiction over 19-BOE-004. Member Gustafson seconded the motion. The motion passes 3-0 with Member Bierman abstaining.

Chair Billingsley moved for a 5 minute recess. Member Bierman seconded the motion. The motion passes 4-0.

Financial Disclosures:

The Board reviewed all financial disclosures filed with the City and identified forms missing information and forms that should receive cautionary letters. The Board identified forms with potential conflicts and directed Staff to issue cautionary letters to those individuals.

Code of Ethics Re-Write:

Staff read email from Ald Wilson requesting comments the Board of Ethics provide comments on the draft Board of Ethics Rules to the Ethics Subcommittee of the Rules Committee. After receiving the Board of Ethics’ input, the Ethics Subcommittee of the Rules Committee will schedule its next meeting.

The Board made discussed changes to the proposed Board of Ethics Rules.

Member Bierman made a motion to reiterate the Boards’ concerns in a memo transmittal to the Ethics Subcommittee to Rules Committee regarding term limits modifications in the rules and the codes and question: (1) why the term limits are different from any other committees; (2) if the Ethics Subcommittee to the Rule Committee has considered the challenge of finding qualified candidates who are willing and able to serve on the Board of Ethics; (3) whether they considered the learning curve on serving on the board of ethics; and (4) whether they have considered how long a complaint takes matriculate through the Board’s process and the effect of changing members each year will have on existing complaints. Member Ellul seconded and the motion with all members voting in favor.

The Board made discussed changes to the updated draft Code of Ethics.

Member Bierman made a motion to transmit in a memo transmittal to the Ethics Subcommittee to Rules Committee Board recommends the Board’s recommendation to split the Code of Ethics and the Rules so that everything that deals with process and procedure should go into the Rules and everything that what is a violation of the ethics
code goes in the Code of Ethics. Member Ellul seconded and the motion passed with all members voting in favor.

The Board requested their changes be incorporated into a memo to the Ethics Subcommittee to the Rules Committee.

6. **Adjournment**: Upon motion by Member Bierman and second by Chair Billingsley, the meeting was adjourned with all voting in favor.

**Attachment:**
Memo from the Board of Ethics to the Ethics Subcommittee of the Rules Committee, dated September 11, 2019.