DESIGN AND PROJECT REVIEW COMMITTEE (DAPR) MINUTES  
October 23, 2019


Staff Present:  M. Rivera

Others Present:

Presiding Member:  S. Mangum

A quorum being present, S. Mangum called the meeting to order at 2:34 p.m.

Old Business
1.  1711 Church Street  Preliminary and Final Review
Matthew Graham, applicant, submits for building permit to install a new tarping station, as allowed by Resolution 7-R-16 at the existing waste transfer station, Advanced Disposal, in the R4 General Residential District and oWE West Evanston Overlay District.

APPLICATION PRESENTED BY:  Matthew Graham

DISCUSSION:

● Applicant stated that they have returned with additional information including photos and a revised site-plan.
● Scott Mangum agreed that the revisions made addressed his earlier concerns with the project.

L. Biggs made a motion to approve the revised project as presented, seconded by M. Tristan.

The Committee voted, 6-0, to approve the revised project as presented.

New Business
1.  1030 Davis Street  Preliminary and Final Review
Tony Volpi, applicant, submits for a year round sidewalk café permit for Philz Coffee, in the D2 Downtown Retail Core District.

APPLICATION PRESENTED BY:  Tony Volpi

DISCUSSION:

● L. Biggs stated that the public way on Oak Avenue is tight and maintain the required 6’ sidewalk clearance for pedestrians is important. Monitoring the tables to ensure they don’t encroach on this space is essential.
● Applicant stated agreement and said that staff will be instructed to monitor movement of the tables and ensure the 6’ pedestrian space is maintained.
● Applicant stated that the proposed tables on Oak Avenue are harder to move than a typical table which will decrease potential for movement.
● S. Mangum stated that there is a bus stop on Oak Avenue.
• S. Mangum stated that a condition of the Special Use for the subject property was installation of a bike rack, which has not occurred yet.
• Applicant stated a willingness to install the bike rack but wanted direction from the City on the best location.
• Applicant has aesthetic concerns with installation of the bike rack and stated that it would be installed if required.
• Applicant disputed the need for a bike rack in this location.
• S. Mangum stated that the bike rack should be located a minimum of 2’ from the curb and suggested a location parallel to the curb on Davis Street.
• L. Biggs suggested the applicant work closely with Public Works and suggested that an on-site meeting would be the best way to find the best location.
• Applicant agreed that an on-site meeting would be helpful.

L. Biggs made a motion for approval, seconded by M. Tristan.

The Committee voted, 6-0, to approve the project as presented.

2. 820 Davis Street  Discussion Only
Lea Pinsky, project coordinator, submits for a mural on the south façade, in the D3 Downtown Retail Core District.

APPLICATION PRESENTED BY:  Lea Pinsky

DISCUSSION:
• Applicant stated the intention for a mural on the north side of the building.
• Applicant stated that the proposed mural up for discussion was a sketch only and although representative of the desired product, wouldn’t be exact.
• Applicant stated that the chosen artist has done work in Evanston before.
• S. Mangum inquired if the applicant intended to share the preliminary proposal with the arts council.
• Applicant stated that they intended to do so
• L. Biggs stated concerns with use of appropriate paint, as well as a long-term maintenance plan for the mural
• Applicant stated that the paint is appropriate and can be applied to the proposed brick stain which has a 20 years guarantee.
• Applicant stated the proposed paint for the mural is a spray paint which has a body of research showing its longevity and appropriateness for this type of application
• Applicant agreed to prepare a long-term maintenance plan for the mural
• L. Biggs inquired if Alderman Wilson had been engaged.
• Applicant stated that the Alderman has not been involved, but they would reach out to him to make sure the project has his support
• S. Mangum asked the applicant to verify that no advertising would be proposed
• Applicant stated that no advertising would be part of the mural and acknowledged the code difference between art and signage.
• S. Mangum stated that the proposal is visually appropriate for the location
• S. Mangum asked what the timing for the project would be
• Applicant stated that the brick would be stained in spring and the mural would be applied sometime after this was complete depending on weather conditions.
Adjournment
L. Biggs made a motion to adjourn, seconded by S. Mangum. The Committee voted, 6-0, to adjourn. The Committee adjourned at 2:50 p.m.

The next DAPR meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, October 30, 2019, at 2:30 p.m. in Room 2404 of the Lorraine H. Morton Civic Center.

Respectfully submitted,
Cade W. Sterling